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## 1. Regular Languages Closure Properties

The language strings over 0 and 1 with at least one 1 is regular, as there is a finite automata whose language is exactly those strings. It is show in figure 1a. Note that but exchanging the set of final and non-final states, the machine recognizes the complement language - the strings that contain no 1's, including the empty string.

Theorem 1.1. If $S \subseteq \Sigma^{*}$ is regular, so is the complement of $S$ in $\Sigma^{*}$.
A set is a mathematical object having a membership predicate. A set defined in any fashion that is sufficiently clear to determine membership. Given sets $X, Y$ and $U$ such that $X \subseteq U$, these new sets are obviously allowed, as the construction of a membership predicate follows easily,


Figure 1. Strings with at least one one and its complement language

$$
\begin{aligned}
X \cup Y & =\{z \mid z \in X \text { or } z \in Y\} \\
X \cap Y & =\{z \mid z \in X \text { and } z \in Y\} \\
X \backslash U & =\{u \in U \mid u \notin X\} \\
X \times Y & =\{(x, y) \mid x \in X \text { and } y \in Y\}
\end{aligned}
$$

These are called, respectively, the union, intersection, complement and cartesian product.

While all of these should be familiar constructions, the Kleene Star is very important for us, and maybe be less familiar,

$$
X^{*}=\emptyset \cup X \cup(X \times X) \cup(X \times X \times X) \cup \ldots=\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} X^{i}
$$

We do not doubt the existence of such a set, because for an $x$ of length $i$, we already have the predicate for $x \in X^{i}$.

The free algebra on the set $\Sigma$ has as values sets of strings $\sigma \in \Sigma^{*}$, including the empty string $\varepsilon$ where union of elements is addition and concatenation is multiplication. The empty string is the unit for multiplication, and the empty set is the null element for union. With these notions we have the concatenation of sets (elements of the free algebra),

$$
S \circ T=\{s t \mid s \in S, t \in T\}
$$

## 2. The product machine construction

Theorem 2.1. If $S, T \subseteq \Sigma^{*}$ are regular languages, so are $S \cup T$ and $S \cap T$.


Figure 2. Even and odd parity of 1's and 0's

Proof: This is a proof by example, and I owe this nice example and the graphic depiction to Wayne Goddard of Clemson University. The languages,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{1}=\left\{\sigma \in\{0,1\}^{*} \mid \text { there are an even number of } 1 \text { 's in } \sigma\right\} \\
& L_{2}=\left\{\sigma \in\{0,1\}^{*} \mid \text { there are an even number of } 0 \text { 's in } \sigma\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

are regular, with the machine $M_{1}$ in figure 2a such that $\mathcal{L}\left(M_{1}\right)=L_{1}$ and machine $M_{2}$ in figure 2 b such that $\mathcal{L}\left(M_{2}\right)=L_{2}$.

We describe the construction of $M_{3}$ shown in figure 2c such that,

$$
\mathcal{L}\left(M_{3}\right)=L_{1} \cap L_{2},
$$

which would prove that the language $L_{1} \cap L_{2}$ is regular.
A string is in both languages when the two machines that recognize the languages are started together, and move together on a letter by letter basis, arriving both in a final state. The two machine conceptually run in parallel. We simulate the pair of machines with a single machine whose state set is the cartesian product of the state sets of the two machines,

$$
Q_{3}=Q_{1} \times Q_{2} .
$$

Then for any $q \in Q_{3}$, it is written as $q=\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)$, and means that in a parallel execution, $M_{1}$ would be in $q_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ would be in $q_{2}$.

The transition function folds into a single machine the transitions of the two component machines,

$$
\delta_{3}(q)=\delta_{3}\left(\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)\right)=\left(\delta_{1}\left(q_{1}\right), \delta_{2}\left(q_{2}\right)\right)=q^{\prime} .
$$

and the accepting states are only those where, when written in the two components, each the first and second component are accepting for their respective machines,

$$
F_{3}=F_{1} \times F_{2}
$$

And that's the construction for the intersection.
For the union, all is the same except we accept if at least one of the first or the second component is accepting in it's respective machine,

$$
F_{4}=\left(F_{1} \times Q_{2}\right) \cup\left(Q_{1} \times F_{2}\right) .
$$

and this is the machine of figure 2 d .
2.1. Second example of the product machine construction. Let $S_{1}$ be the set of strings containing 00 , and $S_{2}$ be the set of strings ending in a 1 . The product construction is given accepting the language $S_{1} \cap S_{2}$.


Accepts strings over $\{0,1\}$ containing the sequence 00 .


Accepts strings over $\{0,1\}$ ending with a 1 .


The product of the above two machines, with final state to accept the intersection.
2.2. Discussion on intersection or union. The machines produced by the product construction is a general construction, that can be performed mechanically. Think of a machine transformer $\mathcal{T}$ that takes machine arguments and gives a machine result,

$$
\mathcal{T}\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right)=M_{3} \text { such that } \mathcal{L}\left(M_{3}\right)=\mathcal{L}\left(M_{1}\right) \cap \mathcal{L}\left(M_{2}\right) .
$$

because this transformer is the proof. Whether the construction is minimal is another story. If we want to accept strings with opposite parity of 0's and 1's, this could be accomplished final state set $\{e D, d E\}$. However, there is another approach.

Theorem 2.2. The language

$$
\{\sigma \in\{0,1\} \mid \text { parity of } 1 \text { 's differs from parity of } 0 \text { 's }\}
$$

and be recognized by a machine with two states.
Proof: Let $l$ by the length of $\sigma, l_{1}$ be the number of 1 's in $\sigma$, and $l_{0}$ be the number of 0 's in $\sigma$. Then from,

$$
l=l_{0}+l_{1}
$$

we conclude that if $l$ is odd, then one of $l_{0}$ and $l_{1}$ is odd and the other even, so $\sigma$ is in the language. However if $l$ is even then $l_{0}, l_{1}$ are both even or both odd, so $\sigma$ is not in the language. So the language is the same as the language of the parity of the length of $\sigma$.

## 3. Nondeterminism

The nondeterministic machine allows the machine to consider alternatives in how it continues its computation. It is an interesting idea with applications across many types of algorithms. Probabilistic algorithms uses the alternatives by tossing a coin, and the program's result becomes a random variable. The result is now a function of


Figure 3. Parity of 1's and 0's differ
input and the coin tosses. The output has a probability distribution inherited from the probability distribution of the randomness and how it is used.

In finite automata, the result is still binary -a string is either in a set or not in a set. However a guess and check mindset appears. Rather than proving that a string is in a set, a alleged proof is given to the automata and the automata verifies that the proof is correct. Note however, that for finite automata, the nondeterminism is only a shortcut. We shall introduce and use nondeterministic machines and then show that any set recognized by a nondeterministic finite automata can be recognized by a deterministic finite automata. However, the deterministic automata might use a great deal more states.

There are two elements to nondeterminism, $\varepsilon$ edges and multiple paths. We introduce these one at a time.

### 3.1. Nondeterminism: $\varepsilon$ edges.

Theorem 3.1. If $S, T \subseteq \Sigma^{*}$ are regular languages, so is $S \circ T$.
The proof of this theorem is added by the introduction of a curious sort of machine called the nondeterministic finite automata. The motivating example will be the concatenation of the two languages,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S=\{\sigma \in\{\bullet, \circ\} \mid \text { string } \sigma \text { has an odd number of } \bullet\} \\
& T=\{\sigma \in\{\bullet, \circ\} \mid \text { string } \sigma \text { has an odd number of } \circ\} \\
& R=S \circ T
\end{aligned}
$$

and the string,

$$
\rho=\bullet \circ \circ \bullet \circ \bullet \circ \bullet \circ \circ \bullet
$$

and $\rho \in R$ because,

$$
\rho=(\bullet \circ)(\circ \bullet \circ \bullet \circ \bullet \circ \circ \bullet)=(\bullet \circ \circ \bullet \circ \bullet)(\circ \bullet \circ \circ \bullet)
$$



Figure 4. Non-deterministic solution with an $\varepsilon$ move
but for no other subdivisions of $\rho$ into two strings. The question for a finite state automata is how is it to know where to parse $\rho$.

The machines are connected by a special edge marked with the symbol $\varepsilon$ that does not consume a character and is non-deterministically followed, in fact followed at exactly the right moment in the computation to provide a proof that a string is in the language. For instance, the machine would opt to take the $\varepsilon$ edge after the first white item, or would opt to take the $\varepsilon$ edge immediately after the third black item.

Note as well, if the string is not in the language the $\varepsilon$ edge will not cause the string to be accepted inappropriately. The computation before the use of the edge must be a string in $S$ and the computation must continue after the use of the edge to demonstrate the remainder of the string is in $T$.
3.2. Nondeterminism: multiple edges. The non-determinist finite automata we are imagining can also have multiple outgoing edges from a state labeled with the same letter. In this case, the non-deterministic choice is made on which edge to take.

In figure 5 a is a non-deterministic machine for matching the pattern 1011 anywhere in a string. The assuming the pattern is in the string, the machine nondeterministically guesses its location. It loops at the start state until reaching the start of the pattern and then proceeds with the four state transitions, ending in an absorbing final state.

If the pattern is not in the string, any attempt to pass from the start to the final state will get to a failure to pass to the next state, formally said, zero outgoing arrows for the letter, and that branch of the computation will fail.

A deterministic machine for the same language is given in figure 5b. It might come as a surprise how tricky the transition function is.


Figure 5. Matching the pattern 1011, using non-determinism

## 4. Formal definition of nondeterministic finite automata

Definition 4.1. A nondeterministic finite automata is a five tuple $\langle Q, \Sigma, \delta, q, F\rangle$ where $Q$ is a finite set of states, $\Sigma$ is a finite alphabet of a free algebra, $q \in Q$ is the start state and $F \subseteq Q$ is the set of accepting or final states, and,

$$
\delta: Q \times \Sigma_{\varepsilon} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(Q)
$$

is the transition function from states and the input alphabet augmented by the symbol for the empty string of the free algebra,

$$
\Sigma_{\varepsilon}=\Sigma \cup\{\varepsilon\}
$$

(where the symbol $\varepsilon$ is assumed to be a fresh symbol, not appearing in $\Sigma$ ) and $\mathcal{P}(Q)$ is the set of subsets of $Q$.

The innovation of this definition is in the transition function $\delta$. The value of $\delta$ is a set of states, not just one state, including the empty set. It is the set of possible states that can continue at that point in the computation. One viewpoint is that nondeterministically the machine picks one next state, and if the string is in the language, it magically picks a state that is on the path towards accepting the string. If the set is empty, then there is not continuation of this path, and that computation path ends.

Transitions can also on empty strings. This is a nondeterministic choice to continue immediately with some follow on state, with out reference to or consumption of a string character.

Definition 4.2. A computation of nondeterministic machine $M$ on a string $\sigma \in \Sigma^{*}$ is a sequence of states

$$
\langle q\rangle=q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{n}
$$

with $q_{i} \in Q$, and an $\sigma^{\prime} \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon}^{*}$,

$$
\sigma^{\prime}=\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}
$$

with $\sigma^{\prime}=\sigma$ such that for every applicable $i, q_{i+1} \in \delta\left(q_{i}, \sigma_{i}\right)$. When for states $q, q^{\prime}$ and string $\sigma$ there exists some computation beginning at $q$ and ending at $q^{\prime}$ let us write $q \xrightarrow{\sigma} q^{\prime}$. This notation contains the choice of $\langle q\rangle$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ implicitly.

Definition 4.3. The language of the nondeterministic finite automata $M=\langle Q, \Sigma, \delta, q, F\}$ is,

$$
\mathcal{L}(M)=\left\{\sigma \in \Sigma^{*} \mid q \xrightarrow{\sigma} q_{f}, q_{f} \in F\right\}
$$

I emphasize that the $q \xrightarrow{\sigma} q_{f}$ notation includes the nondeterministic choice of sequence of states and the nondeterministic use of $\varepsilon$ edges.
4.1. Asymmetry of proof and non-proof. There is now an asymmetry between showing that a string is in the language and showing it is not in the language that was not there with the deterministic machine. A string in the language has a computation as a compact proof that it is in the language. A string not in the language is witnessed by the lack of such a computation. In effect, the proof is a demonstration of all possible computations and a showing that none of them accept the string. This is not as compact.
4.2. Non-constructivity of the proof. That the proof that a string is in the language is non-constructive. The proof, $\langle q\rangle$, a sequence of states is said to exist without a manner to calculate what that sequence is.
4.3. DFA's are NFA's too. A deterministic finite automata (DFA) is a nondeterministic finite automata (NFA) when the NFA has the following two qualities,
(1) There are no $\varepsilon$ edges, $\forall q \in Q,|\delta(q, \varepsilon)|=0$.
(2) There is exactly one choice for any other edge, $\forall q \in Q, \sigma \in \Sigma,|\delta(q, \sigma)|=1$. Hence NFA's can recognize all regular languages.

## 5. The power set construction

An NFA can recognize all regular languages. We now set out to show that any language recognized by an NFA is regular. This is shown by building an DFA from the NFA that simulates in one computation path the trajectory of multiple computation paths in the NFA.

The diagrams for a DFA computation consisted of a single token, initially placed on the start node and moved along edges from node to node according to the consumed
letter. If we allow tokens to be created and discarded, so that many tokens can be placed on many nodes, we can visualize the collection of all possible computations each step of the way. As the token meets several possible next moves, it spawns new tokens and takes them all.

We can then build a DFA where the states are the configuration of tokens on the NFA. That is, each state in the simulating DFA represents a subset of the states of the NFA - those with tokens on them. With the NFA,

$$
N=\left\langle Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_{o}, F\right\rangle
$$

we construct a DFA,

$$
M=\left\langle Q^{\prime}, \Sigma, \delta^{\prime}, q_{o}^{\prime}, F^{\prime}\right\rangle
$$

Where $Q^{\prime}=\mathcal{P}(Q)$, the power set of $Q$, that is the set of all subsets of $Q$.
Given a state $q^{\prime} \in Q^{\prime}$ it corresponds to a set of states $Q^{\prime}$ that have tokens on them in $N$. Given a letter $\sigma$, each token $q^{\prime} \in Q^{\prime}$ removed and replaced with tokens on all of $\delta\left(q^{\prime}, \sigma\right)$. For a deterministic machine, this is not a set but a single token, so the effect is the token "moves" to the prescribed state. In the case of a non-deterministic machine, the old token is discarded and new tokens a placed on each of several states, or no state. If $\delta\left(q^{\prime}, \sigma\right)=\emptyset$ then this computation path had ended.

Hence, the totality of new tokens given in response to letter $\sigma$ with tokens on all sets in $Q^{\prime}$ is,

$$
R=\bigcup_{q \in Q^{\prime}} \delta(q, \sigma)
$$

But we need to consider $\varepsilon$ edges as well. To follow all possible computation paths, it must be that if the set $Q^{\prime}$ contains a state $q^{\prime}$, and there are $\varepsilon$ edges leaving $q^{\prime}$, tokens must all be on everything in $\delta\left(q^{\prime}, \varepsilon\right)$. This brings us to the definition of the $\varepsilon$-closure operator,

Definition 5.1. Given an NFA $M=\left\langle Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_{o}, F\right\}$ and a set of states $S \subseteq Q$, the $\varepsilon$-closure of $S$,

$$
E(S): \mathcal{P}(Q) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Q)
$$

is the smallest subset of $Q$ such that,
(1) $S \subseteq E(S)$,
(2) and $\forall q \in E(S), \delta(q, \varepsilon) \subseteq E(S)$.

It is called a closure operator because $E(E(S))=E(S)$.
Theorem 5.1. The $\varepsilon$-closure operator commutes with set union, $E(A \cup B)=E(A) \cup$ $E(B)$.


Figure 6. Power construction applied to figure 4 c
Theorem 5.2. Given a nondeterministic finite automata $N=\left\langle Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_{o}, F\right\rangle$ the deterministic finite automata $M=\left\langle Q^{\prime}, \Sigma, \delta^{\prime}, q_{o}^{\prime}, F^{\prime}\right\rangle$ simulates $N$, where the state set, the initial and final states are,

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q^{\prime} & =\mathcal{P}(Q) \\
q_{o}^{\prime} & =E\left(\left\{q_{o}\right\}\right) \\
F^{\prime} & =\left\{q^{\prime} \in Q^{\prime} \mid F \cap q^{\prime} \neq \emptyset\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the transition function for $q^{\prime} \in Q^{\prime}$ is,

$$
\delta^{\prime}\left(q^{\prime}, \sigma\right)=E\left(\bigcup_{q \in q^{\prime}} \delta(q, \sigma)\right)
$$

Proof: It is best that the reader think this through for themselves.
Despite being a lower case letter, $q^{\prime}$ is a set. In $M$ it is a state, but it is a state representing a set of states in the machine $N$. Since $q^{\prime} \in \mathcal{P}(Q)$ then any $q \in q^{\prime}$ is a $q \in Q$.

## 6. Examples of the power set construction

The power set construction is applied to NFA of 4c resulting in the DFA of 6. There are some interesting discoveries in the result. Although there are 16 states in the DFA, only 6 are shown, for only those matter. The rest are configurations of tokens that are never achieved in normal operation.

Also, the two leftmost states could be collapsed into a single state without a change to the language, as they are both final states. This means that there are certain prefixes that place the string in the language not matter what follows. The state diagram shows that the simplest such prefix is $\bullet \circ$. The state diagram also shows that there are arbitrarily long strings containing both colors that are not in the language.

